**Environmental Ethics Peer Evaluation**

**Today’s Date:** \_11-15 -2017\_\_\_\_\_\_ **Number of Peers Evaluated by:** \_\_24\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Presenter**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Vivek Patil\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Topic:** \_\_\_\_\_\_Practicing Environmental Ethics…\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Overall Score:** \_\_\_\_9.18\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (1 – 10) where 1 is worst and 10 is best.

Consider attire, stage presence, delivery and diction, eye contact, graphics, content and organization of presentation, knowledge of subject matter, etc. that contributes to the quality of a professional presentation.

**Positive Comments:** Make at least 1 positive comment.

I really enjoyed the presentation. He did a great job of examining the different aspects of (reasons for and against) practicing environmental ethics; very professional. Does a good job conveying information; good about not reading off the slides – definitely knows the material he is presenting well. Presenter did a nice job of displaying various points of view on the subject. Presenter incorporated principles discussed in class. Well prepared; good points made. Mr. Patil is very knowledgeable of his subject and delivers much of his information from himself rather than from his aid, which is very professional. Very prepared, professional: passionate about topic. Well spoken, clear: good talking volume. Good engaging questions. Great presentation; very professional. He did a really good job with speaking and not looking at the slides the whole time. Very engaging lecture; thought provoking discussion. Lecture was very organized and interesting; good delivery. Knew points he wanted to talk about; that being said, the slides had a nice flow with his commentary. Wasn’t any silent moments; clearly practiced. The floor was used; nice walking around, volume and eye contact. Great PowerPoint. Passion for topic is clear. Very knowledgeable about the topic he is presenting. Dressed well. Good eye contact. Good awareness of vocal level. Good engagement with the class. Good content and graphics of presentation. Overall, I very much enjoyed his presentation. I loved how his topic related very much to the things we have talked about this semester. Really knew all of his slides. There were no awkward pauses, etc. Very knowledgeable about the topics he spoke on. I felt like I should be taking notes (good thing). It was very professional; really cohesive. Overall, really fantastic presentation. Very confident and passionate about what he is saying. Good examples compared to the class; presentation wasn’t boring. Professional, good eye contact, good organization, proposed several interesting questions. The speaker did a great job maintaining consistent eye contact with the audience. The PowerPoint was clear, easy to read, and visually engaging. The slides were well designed; few words, good graphics/ pictures, nice colors. I enjoyed how he used many specific examples to illustrate his points. He seemed really well informed on his topic and it was an interesting lecture; I learned a lot. Speaker clearly knew material and was prepared with enough information. More than related material to previous class material; very good examples that he had experience with. Asked good questions to the class. Very engaged and good presentation; professional quality. Good aspects on environmental degradation for a global scale – developed or developing countries. Good use of personal story to connect to the topic; talked with recycle conductor at Auburn. Good tie into the Unabomber to connect what we have all read. Tried to get everyone involved by constantly asking questions. Extremely good knowledge of subject matter, along with stage presence, delivery and eye contact. Proper attire; attractive PowerPoint. Guided/ provoked audience in positive and relatable discussion. Simple and effective transitions; nice quote ending.

**Constructive Criticism:** Give at least 1 constructive criticism.

There could be some improvements in PowerPoint format. Maybe ask simpler questions to audience – that way, there is more feedback. I feel that the presentation was slightly biased/ one-sided. I would have liked to see other perspectives. Smaller discussion questions every few slides could have helped the presenter engage the audience. The only criticism I found is he had a fast speed which made it difficult to stay on track. Fix font color on some slides to see them better (slide 15 maybe?). Maybe could talk a little slower. He went through the first part of his PowerPoint a little fast. Went through PowerPoint slightly fast; no real chance to read. Could have opened up more for discussion. Went too broad sometimes in presentation; couldn’t tell what the topic was at certain points. Spoke really fast; presentation was hard to logically follow. Speaks a little too fast. Had white writing on a light colored picture (“angry pacifist”) and you couldn’t read the wording. Maybe explain last few slides a little more. Moving through the presentation a little bit too fast. Didn’t give us enough time to answer questions. He rushed through some of the slides. It would have been better if he spent a little more time on these rushed slides. He had one slide (Pacifist) that I could not read the text. It needed to be a different color. He put a black background later in the slide. I think it should have been a different slide. He could have delved into a couple of topics a little more. The numbering on the PowerPoint slides may not have been totally necessary. He covered some slides and topics very quickly and without attempting to engage the audience. Many of the questions he posed to the audience seemed rhetorical and were difficult to answer. I felt the presentation would have been better if he hadn’t covered as many points, and had gone more into depth on a few main points instead. Spoke a little too fast, and I often wasn’t able to catch all the material on the slides. A little fast pace through slides and talking. Must do a better job managing resources on global scale. Jumped around a bit with the sub-themes; connected, but not very smoothly. Contrast on a slide made it hard to read because it was white writing. For a topic like Environmental Ethics where it has a different meaning for everyone, the lecture should have been more about what his thoughts were, and less about what it is. Could have had a few more words on PowerPoint for us to follow. Some topics skimmed too fast; maybe too much/ many “problems” chosen to discuss in one lecture.